I EDIZIONE

17MAGGIO

Sala Congressi Ospedale Di Sarno

2024

USO RAZIONALE DEGLI ANTIBIOTICI NELL'ERA DELLE RESISTENZE BATTERICHE

Polmoniti Nosocomiali: HAP VAP

Dott. Davide F. Precone



| Community Acquired (CAP) | Ventilator- Associated (VAP) | Hospital-Acquired (HAP) |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| Pneumonia that develops outside the hospital | Pneumonia that develops 48-72 hours after endotracheal intubation | Pneumonia that develops 48 hours after admission |



Epidemiology of HAP and VAP

The epidemiology influenced by various factors, including differences in definitions, diagnostic limitations, and **microbiological sampling** methods across countries

Variability in Rates: The incidence of HAP varies, with estimated rates ranging from 5 to more than 20 cases per 1,000 admissions and from 2.5 to more than 6.1 cases per 1,000 non-ICU patients.

Hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP) is a common nosocomial bacterial infection that is most prevalent in medical and surgical intensive care units (ICUs).

HAP remains *the second most common* inhospital infection after urinary tract infections, with considerable effects on both medical and economical aspects.



Pathogenesis

The most common pathogenetic route of HAP/VAP is micro-aspiration of pathogens colonizing the oropharyngeal and gastroenteric tract.



Risk factors for HAP and VAP

Risk Factors for Developing HAP/VAP

Invasive mechanical ventilation

Extreme age

Altered conscious level

Chronic lung disease, chronic kidney disease

Severe trauma

Malnutrition

Previous exposure to wide-spectrum antibiotics

Aspiration

Prolonged surgical procedures (thoracic/upper abdominal)

Use of glucocorticoids/opioids/neuromuscular blocking agents

Stress ulcer prophylaxis

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)

Anemia

The risk of developing HAP is ten times higher in patients

requiring mechanical ventilation.



Challenges in Diagnosis

Inaccuracy of the currently available diagnostic criteria. There is no generally accepted or documented **gold standard** diagnostic criterion for HAP/VAP Using only **clinical diagnosis** of VAP may overlook approximately onethird of cases in the ICU

Recommended approach involves a combination of clinical scores, microbiological tools, and the kinetics of serum biomarkers to properly identify and evaluate patients with lower respiratory tract nosocomial infections.



Challenges in Diagnosis

A clinical score, known as the Clinical Pulmonary Infection Score (CPIS), has been developed to combine both clinical and paraclinical parameters. However, the sensitivity and specificity of this score remain a matter of controversy.

| Parameter/Value | 0 Points | 1 Point | 2 Points | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Tracheal secretions * | Few | Moderate | Large | |
| Chest radiography infiltrates | No new infiltrates | Diffuse new infiltrates | Localized new infiltrates | |
| Temperature (°C) | 36.5-38.4 | 38.5-38.9 | >38.9 or <36 | |
| Hypoxemic index (P _a O ₂ /F _i O ₂ mmHg) | >240 or ARDS | | <240 and NO ARDS | |
| White blood cell count (×10 ³ /µL) | 4-11 | <4 or >11 | | |
| Microbiological culture | Negative | | Positive | |
| if tracheal secretions are purulent, clinicians should add one more point to the final score. | | | | |



The kinetics of serum biomarkers

It can contribute to the proper identification and evaluation of patients with lower respiratory tract nosocomial infections, providing valuable prognostic and diagnostic information to guide clinical decision-making.

Procalcitonin

PCT kinetics can serve as a prognostic marker for VAP, and its measurement, in combination with clinical assessment, can be beneficial in specific clinical circumstances to reduce antibiotic treatment duration **C-reactive protein** Serial CRP measurements have been shown to be useful in predicting outcomes, with higher CRP levels associated with poor outcomes



Microbiological samples

Last guidelines, recommend qualitative or quantitative cultures from microbiological samples obtained from the lower respiratory tract as a cornerstone in identifying and diagnosing VAP

| | Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) | |
|--|--|--|
| Invasive techniques | Mini-bronchoalveolar lavage (mini-BAL) | |
| | Protected specimen brush (PSB) | |
| Non-invasive technique Endotracheal aspirate | | |



Microbiology and Etiology



The most common pathogens associated with HAP and VAP include **Staphylococcus aureus** (including methicillin-resistant MRSA and methicillin-susceptible MSSA), Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Escherichia coli, Enterobacter species, Acinetobacter species, and Stenotrophomonas maltophilia.

It is important to note that the specific pathogens involved may vary based on geographic location, local ecology, and the prevalence of MDR organisms in different healthcare settings.



Prevention

Preventing Hospital-Acquired Pneumonia (HAP) and Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP) is crucial

Preventing pulmonary aspiration of oropharyngeal secretions by:

Raising the head of the bed to 30°-45° Daily sedation interruption Maintaining a constant airway cuff pressure of the endotracheal tube to a maximum of 30 cm of H2O Applying optimal positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) Subglottic secretions drainage with specially designed devices that permit continuous or intermittent aspiration.

Measurement of gastric residual volume, although its impact on aspiration risk is not well-documented.

Regular oropharyngeal care with chlorhexidine, which has been statistically correlated with a reduction in HAP incidence in selected cases.

Selective decontamination of the digestive tract (SDD) with specific antibiotics such as colistin, tobramycin, or nystatin.

Vaccination against specific pathogens such as H. influenzae and S. pneumoniae.



Treatment

antibiotherapy, with two main steps which should be followed:

Empirical treatment which respects both the severity of the disease and the presence of risk factors for MDR pathogens Target treatment according to microbiological susceptibility reports or antibiograms.



Empiric Treatment

no MDR risk factors and low mortality risk

Monotherapy with narrow-spectrum drugs against methicillin-sensitive S. aureus (MSSA) and non-resistant Gram-negative bacilli is recommended.

ceftriaxone cefotaxime levofloxacin moxifloxacin







Risk factors for MDR Pathogens

Risk Factors for MDR Pathogens

ARDS before VAP

Intravenous wide-spectrum antibiotic use in the last 3 months

Septic shock at the moment of suspecting VAP

At least 5 days length of hospitalization before suspecting VAP (late-onset subtype)

Renal replacement therapy before VAP



Empiric Treatment

high risk for MDR infections and a >15% mortality risk

Absence of septic shock

single agent against Gram-negative bacteria (especially P. aeruginosa)

imipenem, meropenem ceftazidime, cefepime levofloxacin piperacillin-tazobactam



Empiric Treatment

high risk for MDR infections

Septic shock

should receive a combination between two agents and one agent against MRSA imipenem, meropenem ceftazidime, cefepime levofloxacin piperacillin-tazobactam amikacin, gentamicin ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin vancomycin, teicoplanin linezolid



Staph aerus



klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase-producing





Exp Rev Anti Infect Ther Sep 2022

Pseudomonas aeruginosa



